

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:06-CV-149
§	
§	JUDGE RON CLARK
§	
§	
§	
§	
	<i>\$\$</i> \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$

SCHEDULING ORDER

(Patent Case)

The Court, after considering the joint attorney conference report and after reviewing the case file, enters this case specific order which controls disposition of this action pending further order of the Court. The following actions shall be completed by the date indicated.¹

DEADLINES

November 6, 2006	P.R. 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions.
November 14, 2006	Join Additional Parties
November 28, 2006	To extent not already required to be disclosed, exchange Mandatory Disclosures

¹If a deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or a legal holiday as defined in Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, the effective date is the first federal court business day following the deadline imposed. All deadlines shall be as of 4:00 p.m., on the day specified. If delivery of a document or other item is required, and counsel chooses to mail the same, it shall be deposited, properly addressed and postage pre-paid, in a mailbox or at a post office, at least three (3) days before the indicated deadline, on a day mail is scheduled to be picked up by the postal service at that location, and at a time before the last scheduled pickup.

December 5, 2006	Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
January 5, 2007	P.R. 3-3 Preliminary Invalidity Contentions (and P.R. 3-4 document production) to be served
January 16, 2007	Parties to exchange proposed terms and claim elements for construction (P.R. 4-1).
February 26, 2007	Parties to exchange preliminary proposed claim construction and extrinsic evidence supporting same (P.R. 4-2).
January 9, 2007	Plaintiff's Final Amended Pleadings (It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings except to the extent the amendment seeks to add a new patent in suit).
January 30, 2007	Respond to Amended Pleadings
March 26, 2007	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement to be filed (P.R. 4-3). Provide an estimate of how many pages are needed to brief the disputed claims.
April 25, 2007	Completion date for discovery on claim construction (P.R. 4-4).
May 10, 2007	Opening claim construction brief (P.R. 4-5(a)).
May 24, 2007	Responsive claim construction brief (P.R. 4-5(b)).
May 31, 2007	Reply claim construction brief (P.R. 4-5(c)).
June 13, 2007	Parties to file joint claim construction and chart (P.R. 4-5(d)). Parties shall work together to agree on as many claim terms as possible.
June 13, 2007	Submit technology synopsis. Possible claim construction pre-hearing conference.

June 25, 2007 Possible tutorial at 10:00 a.m.

June 27, 2007 Claim construction hearing at 10:00 a.m.

Provide Initial Mandatory Disclosures of July 27, 2007 information directed solely to damages.

> Deadline for Initial Mandatory Disclosure of all persons, documents, data compilations and tangible things, which are relevant to a claim or defense of any party and which has not previously been disclosed. This deadline is not an extension of earlier deadlines set out in this court's order or the Patent Rules, nor an excuse to delay disclosure of information. It is a "catchall" deadline for provision of all remaining information which may be relevant to a claim

or defense of any party at trial.

August 27, 2007 Parties to Designate Expert Witnesses on issues for which the

> parties bear the burden of proof, and provide their expert witness report, to include for ALL experts all information set

out in Rule 26(2)(B).

August 27, 2007 Comply with P.R. 3-8. (Designation of Wilfulness

Opinions).

September 24, 2007 Parties to Designate Expert Witnesses on issues for which the

> parties do not bear the burden of proof, and provide their expert witness report, to include for ALL experts all

information set out in Rule 26(2)(B).

October 8, 2007

File Dispositive Motions and any other motions that may require a hearing. Regardless of how many dispositive motions a party files, each side is limited to a total of sixty pages for such motions. Each individual motion shall comply with Local Rule CV-7.

Responses to motions shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e).

Note: Objections to any expert, including *Daubert* motions, shall be filed within 3 weeks after the expert's Report has been disclosed. Such objections and motions are limited to ten pages each.

October 8, 2007

Discovery Deadline. All discovery must be served in time to be completed by this date.

December 3, 2007

Notice of intent to offer certified records

December 3, 2007

Counsel and unrepresented parties are each responsible for contacting opposing counsel and unrepresented parties to determine how they will prepare the Joint Final Pretrial Order (See Local Rule CV-16(b) and Proposed Jury Instructions and Verdict Form (or Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in nonjury cases).

December 10, 2007

Video Deposition Designation due. Each party who proposes to offer a deposition by video shall serve on all other parties a disclosure identifying the line and page numbers to be offered. All other parties will have seven calendar days to serve a response with any objections and requesting cross examination line and page numbers to be included. Counsel must consult on any objections and only those which can not be resolved shall be presented to the court. The party who filed the initial Video Deposition Designation is responsible for preparation of the final edited video in accordance with all parties designations and the court's rulings on objections

December 17, 2007

Motions in limine due

File Joint Final Pretrial Order. See Local Rules Appendix D (Obtain form for Exhibit List from District Clerk's Office, or create an Exhibit List form that mirrors the District Clerk's form). Exchange Exhibits and deliver copies to the court. At this date, all that is required to be submitted to the court is a hyperlinked exhibit list on disk (2 copies) and no hard copies

December 21, 2007

Response to motions in limine due²

File objections to witnesses, deposition extracts, and exhibits, listed in pre-trial order.³ (This does not extend the deadline to object to expert witnesses). If numerous objections are filed the court may set a hearing prior to docket call. File Proposed Jury Instructions/Form of Verdict (or Proposed

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law).

To be set by Court

Hearing to consider all pending motions and objections.

January 7, 2008

Docket call and Final Pretrial at 9:00 a.m.

Date parties should be prepared to try case. Provide court with two copies of most updated Exhibit list. Absent agreement of the parties, this should not have exhibits which were not listed in the Final Pretrial Order, but may have some deletions depending on rulings on objections. At this date, the parties should be prepared to give the Deputy Clerk one hard copy of the exhibits.

January 8, 2008

9:00 a.m. Jury Selection. Case will then be tried in order with other cases on the docket. (Depending on disposition of other cases on court's docket, jury selection may be the following week. The court will notify counsel as soon as possible if this is required.)

²This is not an invitation or requirement to file written responses. Most motions in limine can be decided without a written response. But, if there is particularly difficult or novel issue, the Court needs some time to review the matter. To save time and space respond only to items objected to. All others will be considered to be agreed. Counsel must consult to resolve any objections before filing a response.

³Before filing objections counsel should confer with opposing counsel to determine whether they can be resolved without a court ruling. The court needs a copy of the exhibit or the pertinent deposition pages to rule on the objection.

SCOPE OF DISCOVERY

Modification. Taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the parties' resources, the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues, the Court modifies the parameters of discovery in the following respects. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(iii).

<u>Case specific disclosure</u>. The parties shall disclose the information required by the Order Governing Proceedings, the Patent Rules of this court, and this Scheduling Order.

A party that fails to timely disclose such information is not, unless such failure is harmless, permitted to use such evidence at trial, hearing or in support of a motion. In addition to or in lieu of such sanction, the Court may impose other appropriate sanctions, including the payment of reasonable expenses and attorney's fees.

<u>Depositions</u>. Pursuant to the parties agreement, the court allows the following modifications to discovery. The parties are allowed 60 interrogatories each. Each party is allowed 60 requests for admissions. There will be unlimited requests for admissions as to authentication. Each party shall be allowed 100 hours of deposition time, whether the time is used in direct examination or cross-examination, except that expert depositions will not count toward this limit. As to experts, each party is allowed 7 hours of deposition time of an expert per substantive topic addressed by the expert. Each of the inventors may be deposed for 10 hours. Reasonable breaks for lunch and otherwise will not count toward the time limitations. Except for the modifications noted in this order, all depositions shall be taken in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d) and Local Rule CV-30.

In connection with all productions of foreign language documents, the producing party will produce any existing English language translation, whether or not created for the purpose of this case.

The parties are excused from the pretrial disclosure requirements set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) as such disclosure is cumulative of this Court's pre-trial order procedures.

COMPLIANCE

A party is not excused from the requirements of this scheduling order by virtue of the fact that dispositive motions are pending, the party has not completed its investigation, the party challenges the sufficiency of the opposing party's disclosure or because another party has failed to comply with this order or the rules.

TRIAL

Jurors are performing a public service, which is usually inconvenient to them, and may be at great personal expense. Once the jury is seated, every effort will be made to present the case professionally, efficiently, and without interruption. The deadlines for pre-trial matters, such as exchanging exhibits, and objections, are intended to reduce the need for trial objections, side-bar conferences, and repetitive presentation of evidentiary predicates for clearly admissible evidence. Counsel should be familiar with the evidence display system available in the courtroom. Copies of exhibits which will be handed to witnesses should be placed in a three ring binder, with an additional copy for the court.

Counsel are responsible for informing their clients and witnesses about courtroom dress requirements and protocol, such as silencing pagers and phones, and not chewing gum, reading newspapers, or eating.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **11** day of **October**, **2006**.

Ron Clark, United States District Judge

Rom Clark